Do You Really Want to Know About Expansion Chambers?

BY GORDON JENNINGS

Walter Kaaden, who as MZ’s chief development engineer had reason to know, said this of expansion chamber exhaust systems: “You’ll know when you have the design right, because the chamber will then be impossible to fit on the motorcycle without having it drag the ground, burn the rider’s leg, or force the relocation of at least one major component.” His remark was made in jest, but contained a lot of unhappy truth. That bulky item of exhaust plumbing we call an “expansion chamber” is for a fact very difficult to mount on a motorcycle. Routed underneath, it devours ground clearance until it is itself devoured by rocks; curled back on the bike’s side, it wages a hot battle for space with the rider’s entire leg. And always it assaults the eardrums with its fiendish rasp.

Attended as it is by these manifold inconveniences, the expansion chamber exhaust system still has become the ubiquitous helpmate of high-performance, two-stroke engines. Why? Because, without it, and a damned nuisance that it is, there is no substitute for the expansion chamber anywhere in the engineer’s bag of tricks. Percentage improvements, between engines fitted with mufflers and otherwise identical engines with expansion chambers, vary according to how good (or bad) the stock muffler is, and are further influenced by carburetor size, port timing, etc. However, the difference will be in the order of 10- to 25-percent and can go as high as 50-percent when used in conjunction with altered carburetion and timing. The degree of difference possible is widely appreciated, and that accounts for the brisk sale of replacement exhaust systems. It also has led many an enthusiast to construct an expansion chamber of his own design.

Sadly, the end result of most people’s shade-tree experimental work is simply the discovery that it remains possible to acquire all of the expansion chamber’s disadvantages without realizing any of the compensating benefits in performance. Or, as I heard one experimenter (distinguished from the rest only by his honesty) comment, looking bemused at a chamber he had cobbled together for his own bike, “It doesn’t make much power . . . but it sure is noisy.”

Where did he go wrong? Probably it was the usual thing: simple, uncomplicated ignorance of how an expansion chamber exhaust system does what it does. What I proposed doing here is to dilute the general ignorance regarding these chambers enough to improve the average man’s chances of arriving at more satisfactory results. I must add that I do not regard my own understanding of the expansion chamber’s inner workings as being the Almighty’s Revealed Truth. My theories are in reasonable coincidence with physical law, and when applied in-formula to specific engines they do seem to shape an expansion chamber that works. Those of you who hold different views on the subject (and experience tells me you cling to your views with a tenacity most people reserve for minor religions) are welcome to them.

Understanding expansion chambers begins with an understanding of the behavior of sonic waves in tubes. These waves, which actually are quanta of energy, zip along through gases at speeds determined by temperature, and have the peculiar property of being reflected back from whence they came by either the closed or open end of the tube in which they are travelling. Even more pe-
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cular is these waves' habit of inverting their sign at the open end of a tube. A positive pressure wave, reflecting at a tube's open end, goes back up the tube as a negative pressure wave; conversely, a negative wave will reflect positively. But reflections from the tube's closed end remain unchanged in sign: positive stays positive; negative does the same.

These aspects of sonic wave behavior are employed in the expansion chamber exhaust system to help scavenge the cylinder—and then to prevent charge loss. To illustrate this, let's watch in slow motion the activity in a typical chamber through a single operating cycle: When the exhaust port opens, exhaust gases still under considerable pressure are spilled out, and a wave-front starts its march down the exhaust system. After moving a short distance in a parallel-wall tube, this wave reaches the megaphone, more properly called a diffuser. Here, the surrounding walls diverge, and the wave reacts almost as though it had reached the end of the pipe—except that the diffuser is a much more efficient converter of wave energy. In the diffuser, a lot of the positive-wave energy is inverted—to a negative wave—and is promptly reflected back up the tube to apply a vacuum at the exhaust port. This vacuum is stronger than one might suppose, having a value of about minus-7.0 psi at its peak. Obviously, it can be of great service in clearing exhaust gases from the cylinder and in hauling the fresh charge up from the crankcase through the transfer ports—which is precisely how it is used in an expansion chamber.

Now if the exhaust system ended there, as was the case back in the days of the supercharged DKWs and “blooey-pipe” Greeves, this vacuuming effect would be a mixed blessing: anything that will pull exhaust products out of a cylinder will pull the fresh charge right after them. Horsepower being very directly related to the weight of the air/fuel charge trapped in the cylinder at exhaust-closing, this side of the megaphone's activity is undesirable.

Right here is where the closed end of the expansion chamber comes into play. While a portion of the original positive wave moving away from the exhaust port has been inverted and sent back to help scavenge the cylinder, the rest has moved onward. Eventually, it reaches the chamber's closed end and this naturally reflects the positive wave back, still positive in sign, toward the exhaust port. Arriving there, it stalls the outflow of the fresh charge and will actually gather up a lot of what already has escaped and stuff it back in the cylinder—holding the charge there until the exhaust port closes.

It must be mentioned here that the expansion chamber is not purely a sonic device: due to the fact that the chamber bleeds off pressure to the atmosphere through a restricted orifice, and because the pulse of exhaust gases has been expanding to fill the chamber faster than pressure inside can be equalized, there is a general pressure-rise throughout the system. This pressure rise works in concert with the reflected pressure wave to prevent charge loss.

This entire, rather complicated, process works wonderfully well—if all the various waves and pressures surging at the exhaust port are in agreement with what the engine is trying to accomplish at the moment. Unfortunately, the motions of the waves are at a pace stubbornly tied to exhaust gas temperature and supremely indifferent to the engine's requirements. Thus, the primary task in designing an expansion chamber for some particular engine is to establish a length between the engine's exhaust port and the point at which wave reflection occurs in the chamber's baffled end that will return a positive pressure wave to the exhaust port just before the port closes.

To find this tuned length, one must first know the speed at which sonic waves travel in the chamber—and therein lies a great difficulty. As was previously stated, sonic speed varies continuously in the course of a single operating cycle. The diffuser expands the gases and cools them; the baffle causes a degree of recompression and raises gas temperature. And there is an overall cooling of gases within the chamber that also influences wave speed. Fortunately, it is necessary to know only an average figure for wave speed. This will, as you might expect, vary somewhat in the specific instance, but my experience indicates that a high average is about 1700 feet per second. At least, that has proven to me to be a good number to use in establishing a length with reference to the anticipated engine speed at maximum horsepower. You can use it with some assurance that it will give you a tuned-length, back to the baffle, that will be close, but slightly longer than what you really want. The system's length can then be trimmed shorter to bring the engine's peaking speed right on target.

Here's a very simple formula for finding that tuned length:

\[ L_t = \frac{E_0 V_s N}{180 \times 1700} \]

Where, \( L_t \) is the tuned length in inches \( E_0 \) is exhaust duration in degrees \( V_s \) is wave speed in ft/sec \( N \) is engine speed in rpm.

For example: an engine has an exhaust-open duration of 180-degrees, and a power peak at 7000 rpm. Wave speed we will take, for preliminary design purposes, to be 1700 feet per second.

Thus: \[ L_t = \frac{180 \times 1700}{7000} \]

\[ L_t = 43.7 \text{ inches} \]

That length, 43.7-inches, is measured from the exhaust port window, at the piston face, back to a point slightly more than halfway down the baffle—which must, in the interest of efficiency, be a cone rather than a flat plate.

The angle given the baffle-cone, and that of the diffuser, are selected with an eye toward engine output characteristics. My own preference in angles of divergence, for diffusers, is a low of 6-degrees and a high of 9-degrees. Diffusers tapered at less than 5-degrees, included angle, are almost impossible to accommodate within the available length; those with angles greater than 10-degrees are inefficient wave inverters and wave energy recovery with them suffers. Researchers have shown that maximum diffuser efficiency occurs with a divergent angle of 8-degrees. However, if you want maximum horsepower and are not concerned about power range, a 9-degree diffuser returns a very strong wave of short duration that will help. Conversely, if you want a broader power range, diffusers having a slower taper will spread the power at some expense to maximum. For any roadracing application, I would recommend a diffuser of 8- or 9-degrees. Small-displacement motocross bikes, up to 250cc, are probably best fitted with 7-degree diffusers; big motocross bikes, which usually have more power than their rear tire can apply to the ground, will become more rideable with 6-degree diffusers.

But what about the baffle-cone? As it happens, the strength and duration of the reflected wave, and thus the engine's power characteristics, are influenced by taper here as well. Within limits, the correct taper will be twice that of the diffuser. Thus, an 8-degree diffuser is matched with a 16-degree baffle cone. However, you may vary this a couple of degrees—sometimes more—in the interest of obtaining precisely the power characteristics you need. For example, a 6-degree diffuser capped with a 20-degree baffle-cone would produce a good spread of power right up to the power peak—and then cut the engine dead just beyond. The rule here is that the diffuser influences the power curve below the peak most strongly, while the baffle-cone's taper has its effect on the curve after its peak. Again, as in the diffuser, a long, shallow-taper baffle-cone gives a good spread of power at some cost to maxi-
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While the baffle-cone must be placed very precisely with regard to distance from the exhaust port window (this distance measured along the exhaust system’s centerline), there is no single point within the cone where reflection occurs. In fact, a wave entering the cone begins to reflect back right from the moment of entry, and reflection continues over the cone’s entire length. There is, however, a midway point in terms of the reflection—which is not, as you might suppose and as I once thought, halfway down the baffle cone. To find this mean point of reflection, imagine that the baffle cone is complete, rather than truncated at its small end to make a hole for the outlet pipe. If you use half the distance between the cone’s big end out to its imaginary tip, that will give you your mean reflective point. For example, a cone having a 3-inch diameter and converging on a 16-degree included angle would have a length of about 7.1-inches if cut short to join with a 1-inch outlet pipe—but its complete length is 10.7-inches. Thus, you would use a point 5.35-inches back from the cone’s open end in establishing the expansion chamber’s tuned length.

Anyone who has watched the development of expansion chambers over the years may have noted that the biggest change in their appearance has been in girth. The early examples were fairly slender—but that was before researchers established that diffusers, within the divergences and pressures applicable here, offer maximum efficiency when their cross-sectional areas for inlet and outlet are proportioned as 1:6.25. That is to say, when the sectional area at the diffuser’s big end is 6.25-times that of the inlet end. So, if the inlet diameter is 1.5-inches (to match a lead-in pipe of the same diameter) then its area there will be (.5 x .5 x 7.854) = 1.767 square inches, and to find outlet area multiply 1.767 by 6.25, which equals 11.05-in². Knowing that, you then must find a diameter that will provide 11.05-in² of area, and working back from the formula for finding area, D⁰ x .7854, you arrive at diameter—which in this instance is 3.75-inches.

Determining the length of a diffuser, or a baffle-cone, after the major and minor diameters and taper have been established, is a simple exercise in trigonometry. I use the following formula:

\[ L = \frac{D_2 - D_1}{2} \times \cot A \]

Where \( L \) is length; \( D_1 \) is the minor diameter; \( D_2 \) is the major diameter; \( \cot A \) is the cotangent of half the diffuser’s, or baffle cone’s, angle of divergence (or convergence).

For example, for a diffuser having a 1.5-inch minor diameter, a 3.75-inch major diameter, and a divergent angle of 8-degrees,

\[ L = \frac{3.75 - 1.5}{2} \times 14.3007 \]

\[ L = 1.61 \text{-inches} \]

Having covered diffusers and baffles and tuned lengths, we can now grapple with lead-in and outlet pipes. The former’s diameter is established primarily by the size of the engine’s exhaust port. If the engine has an exhaust port window with an area of, say, 1.7-in², the lead-in pipe connecting this port with the diffuser should have a cross-sectional area 15-20 percent larger, or an inside diameter (in this example) of 1.58- to 1.61-inches. But (and here’s where choice becomes difficult) increases in lead-in pipe area up to 50-percent greater than port area may be indicated if for reasons of convenience in installation it becomes necessary to use an unusually long lead-in pipe. Also, a long, large diameter lead-in pipe may be helpful in broadening the engine’s power range downward—but then the same effect may be obtained with a shorter, smaller-diameter pipe joined to a shallow-taper diffuser. The pattern is that large diameter pipes behave as though they were shorter than their actual length, and small-diameter pipes vice-versa.

In actual application, the matter of lead-in pipe diameter will have been settled for you by those who designed your engine—and who gave the exhaust port its diameter. There isn’t much you can do to alter that. So I offer only a rule-of-thumb for length: For a power curve biased toward maximum output, the lead-in pipe’s length should be equal to between 6 and 8 diameters. Thus, a pipe having a diameter of 1.5-inches would be between 9- and 12-inches in length—and this figure includes the length of the exhaust port from its window in the cylinder to the connecting flange. A broader power range will be obtained with lead-in pipe lengths of between 9- and 11-diameters. Should you not be able to make the connection between port and diffuser with lengths in these ranges, then alter the diameter in the appropriate direction. Similarly, if you want to broaden or narrow the power range, to improve low speed torque or raise the power peak, and there isn’t room to play with lead-in lengths, you can make the adjustment with diameter.

The outlet pipe is a less complicated matter. It functions as a pressure-bleed resistor, which means that there is more than one “right” combination of diameter and length. Nonetheless, I have found that something very near the optimum is obtained with an outlet pipe diameter between .58- and .62-times lead-in size. Thus, if lead-in pipe diameter is 1.5-inches, then outlet diameter should be between .87- and .93-inches. Chambers having larger or smaller volumes than those developed as outlined here may require correspondingly smaller and larger outlet pipe diameters.
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Do You Really Want to Know About Expansion Chambers?

BY GORDON JENNINGS

More than a dozen exhaust systems, two each of carburetors, pistons, cylinders and heads, two months of grinding, sawing, snipping and welding, and fifty hours of peering at a dynamometer scale went into the making of this report. We promised you an investigation into the effects of sundry expansion chambers, carburetors, compression ratio and porting on a two-stroke engine, and by Gadfrey we have investigated! Some of the results of that investigation are, fairly startling.

Central to all the above-described activity was a Yamaha DT-1E, of which there are about umpteen jillion in off-road service. This sheer ubiquity was half our reason for choosing the Yamaha: whatever we learned in the course of our investigation would have direct application for a large portion of Cycle’s readers. The other half was the Yamaha’s proven reliability. We anticipated many, many hours of full-throttle, full-load running, and a halt in mid-experiment to rebuild an engine would have stalled progress—as well as casting doubt’s shadow over the validity of results obtained.

A final and essential ingredient in our plans was the dynamometer facility at Webco. This motorcycle accessory firm’s owners, Tom Heininger and Bob Hughes, decided a couple of years ago that rule-of-thumb designing and seat-of-pants testing was no longer appropriate in what had become a major industry. So they spent many months and many, many thousands of dollars in the creation of a first-rate research and development shop. This shop contains all the machine tools, etc., for experimental fabrication—and the best dynamometer we’ve seen for testing same. Webco’s dynamometer is built around a Schenke eddy-current brake, with automatic controls and a digital tachometer, and has been certified by the Los Angeles County’s Department of Weights and Measures for accuracy. You can believe the numbers you get with this kind of precision instrument, and we are extremely fortunate to have had access to Webco’s dynamometer facility—and shop—for this expansion chamber project.

Before any modifications to the Yamaha DT-1 were performed, its engine (nicely broken in, after 800 miles of running) was disassembled, checked, reassembled and carefully tuned to factory-recommended specifications. We had a hunch that the engine would not deliver the advertised 21 bhp, and we wanted to avoid being accused of having done our work with a “bad” engine. Why the hunch? Because past experience with other motorcycles has shown us that rarely, if ever, does advertising mesh with reality in matters concerning horsepower. We are prepared to accept discrepancies in the order of 8-10 percent, because Webco’s dynamometer is chain-driven, off the motorcycle transmission’s output sprocket. Motorcycle factories may very well take their power readings right from the end of the crankshaft. But we are not going to believe that power losses can ever be much more than 10-percent: there is a loss of about 3-percent where power is transferred across gears, and perhaps there is a 2-percent loss in the drive chain and sprockets; that adds up to 8-percent for most motorcycles. The actual difference between what we usually find being delivered to the rear wheel, and what advertising claims, is much greater. But ad copywriters aren’t engineers either.
The exhaust port width was increased to 1.71-inches; keep port shape standard.

Perhaps these engines will flash something near claimed output; they will not sustain it. We have found that power output falls very rapidly as a two-stroke engine comes up to operating temperature, with a drop of 20 percent between cold readings and those taken after running temperatures have stabilized. This could, added to transmission losses, account for the difference between claimed power output and our figures. Even so, we consider our method—which reflects the power actually being delivered to the motorcycle's rear wheel under "normal" operating conditions—to be more accurate. The power an engine might develop at its crankshaft, cold, is of little interest to the rider charging up a sandwash on a warm summer day.

In any case, we bolted the Yamaha DT-1 in place beside the dynamometer, hooked up the chain, and after bringing it up to operating temperatures, made a complete run—taking readings at 200 rpm intervals from 3500 rpm
Webco dyno facility has a temperature metering system which proved its worth during tests. Webco head (pictured above) replaces standard one.

up to the engine's 7500 rpm redline. We had determined, in advance, that not even a duffer rider used anything below 3500 rpm—and we had decided to accept Yamaha's judgement of what constituted a safe upper limit for the 3T-1 engine. And how much horsepower did the Yamaha actually deliver to its rear wheel? The difference between the claimed 21 bhp at 7000 rpm and what we observed was considerable: 5.14 bhp, to be exact. Our stock, freshly-tuned DT-1E showed a maximum of 15.86 bhp, at 6000 rpm.

Our primary purpose in this project was to demonstrate that an expansion chamber exhaust system built to the formulae I presented in the March, 1972 issue of Cycle would perform as claimed. So I set to work with slide-rule and pencil, designing an expansion chamber for the Yamaha DT-1. Using the exhaust-open period of 172-degrees and anticipating a power peak at 7000 rpm, the chamber's tuned length was to be 41.75-inches (measured from the exhaust port window to the point of mean reflection inside the chamber's baffle cone). All the other dimensions would depend, more or less directly, on the lead-in pipe diameter, and that's where a lot of trouble fell upon my head before I could get any further.

As it happens, the Yamaha's lead-in pipe, the tube that connects the exhaust port and the muffler, had a diameter just over 2% inches—which may be right for Yamaha's muffler; it would be all wrong for my expansion chamber. On the other hand, my usual system of making lead-in pipe diameter equal to exhaust port area plus 15-20 percent didn't seem like the best possible approach either, as the exhaust port's outlet diameter in the DT-1 engine is larger than that, and engines do not like sudden constrictions in their exhaust outlets. Then it was discovered that 1/2-inch exhaust pipe tubing would plug right into the Yamaha engine's exhaust spigot, so for lack of any better answers, I decided to use that diameter for a starting point in all the rest of my calculations.

Which instantly brought me up against yet another problem. The inside diameter of 1/2-inch O.D. tubing (with a wall-thickness of .049-inch) is 1.777-inches, and when you apply the 1:6.25 area rule, the diffuser outlet diameter becomes 4.44-inches, and even using a divergent angle of 8-degrees, the diffuser will have a length of 19.0-inches. Add another 7.9 inches for the distance to mean reflection back inside the baffle cone, plus 2.5-inches for exhaust port length, and you have already used 29.4-inches of the 41.75-inches of tuned length—which leaves only 12.35-inches for the lead-in pipe's length. That is getting pretty short, when used in combination with an 8-degree diffuser, for any kind of power spread, but I had promised you a test of a purely by-formula expansion chamber, so I went ahead and constructed a chamber to the dimensions just mentioned.
Did it work? You could say so, because just switching from the stock muffler to my expansion chamber bumped maximum engine output from 15.86 bhp @ 6000 rpm to 18.52 @ 6500. That's an increase of 16.7-percent, and it isn't bad at all, if you're just considering maximum power. Unfortunately, there's more to performance than that: what really counts is power range—which in this instance had to be, at minimum, from 5000 rpm to 7500 rpm. The DT-1's transmission and normal riding conditions will not permit staying right on top of the power peak. My first "formula" pipe was, in those terms, less than a resounding success: at 5000 rpm, it dropped the engine from 13.54 bhp (with the stock pipe) to 11.77 bhp; at 5500 rpm, the figures were 14.92 bhp for the stock pipe and 14.49 for my expansion chamber; and at 6000 rpm it was 15.86 bhp compared with 16.97.

So, my expansion chamber was an improvement over the stock muffler, but the improvement was a little too high on the engine speed scale to be satisfactory. An expert rider would think it marvelous, because such riders run flat-out most of the time anyway; lesser lights would have found it somewhat peaky. And most of us definitely are "lesser lights" and need a better spread of power. While performing the tests that gave us these power figures, we noted an ominous warning from the temperature gauges. Particularly, spark plug temperature. The fact is, this issue had to be, at minimum, from the expansion chamber. Heating was there even with the stock muffler; it became an overwhelming problem in this instance had to be, at minimum, from the expansion chamber.

It may be of interest that my expansion chamber, bolted on a stock DT-1 engine, did edge all the others for power. But that is of little value in real terms, because all of the chambers we tried would urge the engine to fatal exertions. There was a cure, of sorts, in switching to a larger mainjet in the carburetor, as the cooling effects of a lot of raw gasoline whooshing around inside the engine were sufficient to bring spark plug temperature down below the disaster level. The problem was that while this kept the piston from melting, and even provided a slight boost in peak horsepower, the over-rich mixture was simply too rich for clean running at anything other than peak revs. In short, the engine became even more peaky—and developed a marked tendency to foul its plug at low revs.

Webco came to the rescue at this point, with a replacement cylinder head. Bob Hughes has developed for the DT-1. There's nothing really tricky about the Webco cylinder head: it has a part-spherical combustion chamber centered over the bore and surrounded by a small squish band, and is cast of a very common aluminum alloy. It works better than the stock cylinder head however, probably just because it is a lot thicker and has more cooling-fin area. Cranking pressure with the stock head was 120 psi, and that was all the compression the engine would tolerate at sea level even with the stock exhaust system. Spark plug temperatures with the Webco head were about 30° lower, consistently, with cranking pressure at 155 psi. The increased compression ratio was good for a 2.5-percent boost in power (as compared to the stock head) over the entire power range, but we do not consider that to be as important as the improved cooling. It could make the difference between clearing or not clearing a sandwash to you—and the Webco cylinder-head made the big difference in our experiments with expansion chambers: without it, we could not have gone anywhere.

Having overcome the heating problem, we proceeded with our testing of expansion chambers. My own formula chamber, in conjunction with the Webco cylinderhead, pushed engine output up to 19.93 bhp @ 6500 rpm. The Hooker expansion chamber delivered 19.36 bhp, at 7000 rpm. Torque Engineering's chamber came in with 19.49 bhp, also at 7000 rpm; the MCM chamber gave us 18.10 bhp @ 6500 rpm; and the Strader Engineering chamber, 18.93 bhp @ 7000 rpm. We also tried the Yamaha GYT-kit and MX expansion chambers, which gave 19.79 and 19.36 bhp, respectively—at 6500 rpm.

In terms of peak power, my first formula pipe won this contest—but I would be less than honest if I failed to tell you that the real winner was the chamber from Strader Engineering. This chamber of Strader's was about an even horsepower short at the peak power, but it was very strong from about 4000 rpm right out to the 7500 rpm redline. My formula pipe, in contrast, didn't begin to work well until the engine was brought past 5500 rpm, and wasn't as strong after passing its peak. The MCM chamber, incidentally, showed much the same characteristics as the Strader—but was somewhat stronger mid-range, and dropped quite sharply past the power peak. All the rest of these chambers showed the same general characteristics as the formula expansion chamber: their effectiveness was limited to a narrow range between 5000 and 7000 rpm, which makes them acceptable to the expert rider, in racing applications, but otherwise tends to limit their usefulness. A regrettable state of affairs, in a world where most riders do most of their riding away from the track. Clearly, what was needed here was an expansion chamber that combined my formula chamber's peak output characteristics with the broad range capability of the Strader and MCM chambers.

Now the combination just mentioned is easier to want than to get, and I have not had much luck in that direction in the past. Still, it was worth a try, so I thought and calculated a great deal (I won't bore you with a complete accounting of all the mental machinations) and eventually came up with a staged-diffuser expansion chamber. This one has the first part of its diffuser diverging at 7-degrees, and a shorter second part at 12-degrees, with the parts being proportioned 70/30 for length. The baffle cone remained the same, converging at 16-degrees. And, because the staged diffuser is a bit shorter than one having a constant 8-degree angle, I was able to lengthen the lead-in pipe to 13.0-inches.

Of course, I was prepared to accept a slight drop in peak power to gain in terms of power spread; I wasn't prepared for what actually happened. For the first test with this staged-diffuser chamber, we changed back to the stock cylinder head, and checked to see how far below the previous 18.52 bhp we had dropped. What happened was that we found a slight gain in peak power, to 18.75 bhp—at 6500 rpm—in addition to a considerable improvement in broad-range power. Switching to the Webco head pushed the entire power curve upward, with the maximum being raised to 19.97 bhp, and useful power stretched from 3500 rpm all (Continued on page 115)
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the way right up to 7500 rpm.

Having found an expansion chamber that appeared to be the answer to a tuner's prayers, I then decided to do some experimentation with lead-in and outlet pipe diameters. I could have saved myself the trouble in both instances. Within the lengths dictated by installation space and the chamber-proper's size, the rather arbitrarily chosen lead-in diameter of 1⅛ inches proved to be exactly what the Yamaha engine liked. I tried reducing the lead-in pipe to 1⅞ inches in diameter, and increasing it to 2 inches, and both of these changes gave a distinct drop in power over the entire operating range. The 1⅛-inch pipe was closer to being right, but only close. If you cannot find 1⅛-inch tubing, in suitable bends, in your area, and want to build one of these chambers for your own Yamaha, then by all means use 1⅞-inch tubing rather than 2-inch. Still you must remember that 1⅛ inches is the right diameter. I'll add here that I find this sensitivity to diameter on the part of the Yamaha DT-1 engine quite remarkable; it is something that will bear closer investigation in the future. I have in mind a series of tests to determine the relationship between lead-in pipe diameter and length (they are, I am convinced, interrelated) but that will have to wait until other, more pressing matters are handled.

Constructing an expansion chamber with a clamp to permit quick changes in outlet pipes was next on the schedule, and the tests with same went very quickly and conclusively. My formula predicted that the correct outlet pipe diameter would be between .58 and .62 lead-in diameters, and that proved to be the case. Multiplying the lead-in pipe's 1.77-inch inside diameter by the above factors gave an outlet diameter of between 1.025 and 1.098 inches. Tubing with a 1⅛-inch O.D. and a wall-thickness of .049-inch has an inside diameter of 1.072 inches, which falls within the prescribed range, so that's what was used. The next-larger standard tubing size, 1⅛-inch, with an inside diameter of 1.152, was too large in theory—and proved to be so in practice. I should mention here that the popular notion about being able to get better low-end performance from an expansion chamber by substituting an oversize outlet pipe is entirely wrong. The right diameter is right period. Anything larger simply depresses power output through the entire engine speed range.

Smaller outlet pipes were also tried, with the result predicted in my previous article on expansion chamber design: reducing the outlet to 902-inch, only an eighth below the correct size, produced a small drop in power—but a very large increase in engine temperature. This effect is something to keep in mind when you're experimenting with expansion chambers, for there is a very thin line between maximum power and a melted piston.
Having constructed a chamber with a clamp-mounting for its outlet pipe, we were able to conduct a proper test of my theory that an expansion chamber’s outlet pipe should be located well forward of its conventional position. A few years ago, I tried a set of chambers on a roadracing Bridgestone with outlet pipes moved forward, inside the baffle cones. The thought was to place the forward end of the outlet pipe ahead of the baffle, and thus deny the sonic wave an easy escape out the back of the baffle cone—which should provide a stronger reflection and (hopefully) in the end boost power output. Lacking a dynamometer, I was not able to determine if there was, in fact, any increase in power attributable to this change. I did, however, note that there was very much less noise with the “inside stingers” and while this meant little at the time (who wants a muffled roadracing motorcycle?) the effect has since become rather important.

Anyway, I now had the means for testing the theory and that’s what we did—taking power readings with the outlet pipe extending out the back of the chamber, shoved all the way forward, and at points between. And there was indeed a slight increase in power to be had with the outlet pipe in full-forward position. A very, very slight increase, and most of that at lower revs. You’d have to say that there was no worthwhile improvement over the conventional outlet pipe location in terms of power—but the change does drop sound pressure from about 115 dB(A) to 100 dB(A), without any other form of muffling, and that alone is sufficient reason for relocating the outlet. Just don’t go for any half-measures in this regard: we found, in moving the outlet pipe back and forth, that unless the forward end of the pipe is either moved up ahead of the baffle cone, it should be left back at its tip. Power drops quite markedly as the outlet pipe is pushed up ahead of the baffle cone, reaches a minimum at about the halfway point, and then slowly rises to regain maximum value as the pipe’s forward end is finally brought ahead of the baffle.

A final note on expansion chambers: in this kind of work, the dynamometer is a kind of time machine. You can compress a year of cutting, welding and field-testing into a week, with a dynamometer. My first expansion chamber for the DT-1 was, as previously noted, a horsepowers winner—better in this regard than any of the others, including the Yamaha chambers—but I don’t like to think how long it would have taken to arrive at a design for the second, far-better chamber, without a dynamometer to tell me where I was and where I had to go. I like that dynamometer so much, I don’t even mind that it proved me wrong about there being a lot of power to be had in simply relocating an outlet pipe.

With all the dithering around amidst a pile of expansion chambers concluded, we moved on to an experiment with porting, port timing, and carburetion. This phase
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was begun with a lot of hours spent scuffing around inside the Yamaha cylinder's ports with a high-speed grinder. No attempt was made to alter the port's shapes, as we felt that Yamaha knew more about that than any of us, but we did smooth all the surfaces (removing casting flaws, etc.). The only modification of any consequence was in the exhaust port's width. Past experience (our own, and that of others) has shown that the safe limit for exhaust port width is 62-percent of bore diameter. The stock port was 1.57-inches in width; we marked off a new window shape in machinist's blue, and widened the port to 1.71-inches. Our intention was to retain the same exhaust timing, with the port opening 94-degrees after top center, but the port roof got raised slightly in the clean-up process and the very generous chamfer we ground around the exhaust port, to make life easier for the piston rings, effectively raised the port a bit more. In the end, we found that we had gained 4-degrees, with the exhaust opening an even 90-degrees after top center.

We also gained some horsepower. There was a very slight loss in low-end power, and a gain of about half-a-horse at the 6500 rpm power peak, but at 7000 rpm the porting job was worth three horsepower. That gain is, we think, almost entirely due to the added exhaust port width.

The next step was to try altering the intake timing, which gives a total of 160-degrees of port-open duration in the stock DT-1E. The logical thing was to try Yamaha's MX intake timing, which is what we did. You can get this timing by using an MX piston, which has a rear skirt 160-inch shorter than the stock piston—or you can shorten the stock piston's skirt by the required amount. In either case (and we tried both) maximum output rises by about 1%-bhp, and the improvement is above one horsepower all the way from 6000 rpm to the 7500 rpm redline. There is a slight loss in power below 4500 rpm, but we consider that loss to be acceptable in light of the gains at high engine speeds. Oddly enough, there was neither gain nor loss to be found by running with one or two rings. We tried the MX piston, and the shortened stock piston with one and two rings, and simply could not detect any difference. Undoubtedly there would be a difference at higher revs, but there certainly was none below 7500 rpm.

For our last magical trick, we unbolted the DT-1E's stock 26mm carburetor, and substituted a 30mm MX carburetor—which we were just certain was going to give us a big surge in power, if only at maximum revs. What we got was a big surprise: there was slightly more power at 6000 rpm, and a marked loss at all other speeds. And no matter how we fiddled the jetting, the loss was still there. In reviewing all the pertinent data, it does seem most likely that the 30mm carburetor would work as we expected if the intake port was reshaped to match the one in the MX cycl-
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The results were almost precisely the same as when we made our first check, which assured us that nothing had changed during the course of this project. And then we put together a combination of the modifications we had found to be really worthwhile: my expansion chamber, the ported cylinder, a shortened piston and the Webco cylinder head.

How did this combination work? It worked to the tune of 22.9 bhp, at 6500 rpm. That's an increase of 44.5 percent, obtained without exceeding the manufacturer's redline for this engine, and in fact, by raising the true horsepower peaking speed only 500 rpm. Moreover, there is more power with the modified engine over the entire usable power range. The difference is not just so many dynamometer numbers, either. We canted the DT-1 off to the desert for a real-life tryout, and the way it goes—compared to the stocker—is nothing short of astonishing.

You can discover all this yourself, for a very small investment in money and with a reasonable expenditure in time. We have provided all the expansion chamber dimensions, and those for porting the cylinder. You can get a Webco head from Webco, or any dealer who handles their products, and they are going to manufacture the expansion chambers for those who don't want to make them from scratch.
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We had intended to try a batch of add-on mufflers, and test them for effectiveness both in terms of power reduction/gain and noise reduction. All were, as it happens, very far from being effective enough to bring the exhaust noise from a conventional expansion chamber down to meet the AMA's 92 dB(A) limit. A couple of them were close, when used in conjunction with the inside outlet pipe, but we elected not to proceed with a series of sound-level tests simply because they would have been meaningless. Why? Because all of these silencers used fiberglass packings as a sound attenuator, and experience has demonstrated to everyone's satisfaction that fiberglass is either shredded by vibration and blown out on the ground—or becomes loaded with carbon and oil. In either case, the fiberglass muffler quickly loses its effectiveness. We see no point in spending a lot of hours testing devices that must inevitably have a very short useful life.

One thing we can tell you is that a silencer of adequate size has no effect on power output worth mentioning. Some of the silencers we tried on the dynamometer would trim a very small amount of power from the high speed range and add it on down low; others had the opposite effect.

In no case was there an important difference—with the possible exception of the Hooker expansion chamber, which did show a very slight overall gain when a straight tube was substituted for its fiberglass-surrounded muffler sleeve. This, we think, indicates that the Hooker chamber could use a smaller muffler sleeve to provide a bit more pressure-bleed resistance.

The entire question of muffling motorcycle engines clearly deserves a lot more investigation, and we are now moving in that direction. Next year, the limit for off road vehicles in California will be 88 dB(A). We're going to work on the problem in hope that it's not already too late; we seem to be the only ones who are taking the matter seriously at the moment. If all goes well, we'll have some numbers for you in the near future.
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